1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	LONNIE WILLIAMS,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-3418 MCE JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed August 15, 2011, plaintiff was ordered to pay the appropriate
17	filing fee within twenty-one days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a
18	recommendation that this action be dismissed. That order, initially returned by the postal service,
19	was reserved on plaintiff on August 31, 2011. More than twenty-one days have passed since the
20	date of reservice and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not paid the
21	appropriate filing fee. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be
22	dismissed without prejudice.
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
25	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
26	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
	1

1	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
2	within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
3	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
4	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
5	DATED: October 18, 2011.
6	A O T MA OI
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	12
10	will3418.fifp
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I