1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	MARIO K. MOORE,
10	Plaintiff, No. CVI S-10-3457 KJM KJN P
11	VS.
12	MICHAEL McDONALD, et al.,
13	Defendants. ORDER
14	/
15	As provided by the mailbox rule, on June 12, 2012, plaintiff filed a request for
16	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed May 21, 2012 denying his request for
17	appointment of counsel. Under E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be
18	upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court
19	finds that the magistrate judge's ruling was not clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
20	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of
21	the magistrate judge filed May 21, 2012 is affirmed.
22	DATED: September 13, 2012.
23	Mulle
24 25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25 26	
26	
	l Ded