One of plaintiff's motions to compel contains a proof of service indicating that plaintiff gave this

25 //

26 ////

24

Doc. 45

1	motion to compel to prison officials to mail to the court on January 2, 2012. (Dkt. No. 40 at 36
2	Plaintiff's other motion to compel does not contain a proof of service. However, both motions
3	were signed by plaintiff on December 30, 2011. Based on these circumstances, the undersigned
4	finds that plaintiff's motion to compel that does not contain a proof of service must also have
5	been given to prison officials for mailing on January 2, 2012. Plaintiff did not file a reply to
6	defendants' opposition arguing otherwise.
7	Plaintiff's motions to compel are untimely because they were not filed before the
8	discovery cut-off date.
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motions to compel (Dkt
10	Nos. 40 and 41) are denied.
11	DATED: March 6, 2012
12	
13	KENDALI I NEWMAN
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	ed3461.com
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The motions to compel are court stamp filed January 9, 2012.