1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CARLOS HENDON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-3471 KJM CKD P
12	VS.
13	T. VIRGA, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed December 5, 2011 plaintiff's in forma pauperis status was
17	revoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff was ordered to pay the \$350.00 filing fee for
18	this action within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a
19	recommendation that this action be dismissed. ¹ The thirty day period has now expired, and
20	plaintiff has not paid the filing fee.
21	////
22	////
23	////
24	////
25	
26	¹ This order was affirmed by the district judge on December 22, 2011.
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:
2	1. Defendants' August 29, 2011 motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 16) be granted; and
3	2. This action be dismissed.
4	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
5	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
6	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
7	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
8	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections
9	shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are
10	advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the
11	District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
12	Dated: January 13, 2012
13	Carop U. Delanz
14	CAROLYN K. DELANEY / UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	
16	
17	2
18	hend3471.mtd
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I