

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. MISC. S-10-55 JAM EFB

vs.

SCOTT SMITH dba  
ENTREPRENEURPR,

Defendant.

ORDER

On November 30, 2011, the court heard (1) plaintiff's motion to compel defendant to submit all papers, pleadings, and other matters sought to be filed, for approval by a judge or magistrate judge as a condition of filing and service thereof, Dckt. No. 87; (2) defendant's emergency motion to extend time to respond to plaintiff's order to appear for the November 30, 2011 judgment debtor examination and produce documents responsive to plaintiff's subpoena duces tecum, Dckt. No. 92; and (3) plaintiff's application for an order to show cause why a permanent pre-filing order should not be issued and for an order disentitling defendant from filing any opposition to any post-judgment remedies sought by plaintiff, Dckt. No. 93. Attorney David J. Cook appeared on behalf of plaintiff; defendant appeared pro se.

///

///

1 For the reasons stated on the record at the hearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. Plaintiff's motion to compel defendant to submit all papers, pleadings, and other  
3 matters sought to be filed, for approval by a judge or magistrate judge as a condition of filing  
4 and service thereof, Dckt. No. 87, is denied;

5 2. Defendant's emergency motion to extend time, Dckt. No. 92, is denied; and

6 3. Plaintiff's application for an order to show cause why a permanent pre-filing order  
7 should not be issued and for an order disentitling defendant from filing any opposition to any  
8 post-judgment remedies sought by plaintiff, Dckt. No. 93, is denied.

9 SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: December 1, 2011

  
EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE