1									
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
9									
10	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, INC., a) Minnesota Corporation; ST. JUDE) MEDICAL PUERTO RICO, LLC, a)	2:10-mc-00089-GEB-DAD							
12	Puerto Rico Limited Liability) Company,)	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION							
13	Plaintiffs,								
14	v.)								
15	ACCESS CLOSURE, INC., a Delaware) Corporation,)								
16) Defendant.								
17)								
		fin dermenting (»pdd")							

Third Party Boston Scientific Corporation ("BSC") requests reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's November 22, 2010 Order, which granted Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel BSC to comply with their deposition and document subpoena, on the grounds that the Order "imposes significant burden on BSC, a non-party to the litigation; disregards the minimal value of the information sought; and violates the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45." (ECF No. 23, 2:2-3.)

Pursuant to E.D. Cal. R. 303(f) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous" or "contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that BSC has not shown the Magistrate Judge's

1

1	ruling	was	clea	rly	erronec	ous	or	contrary	r to	law.	Therefore,	BSC′s
2	request	for	reco	nsio	deration	is I	DENI	ED.				
3	Dated:	Dece	ember	9,	2010			Λ				
4								1 10	5	D	nil	
5						GZ	ARLA	ND E. BU	IRREI	L, JR.	Tudao	_
6						UI	1100	a states	9 1 5	LFICL	Judge	
7												
8												
9												
10												
11 12												
12												
13												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												
25												
26												
27												
28												