1 2 2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 SCOTT N. JOHNSON,	
11Plaintiff,No. Civ S-11-0021-KJM-JFM (PS)	
12 vs. GODWIN ONA,	
13	
Defendant. ORDER 14 /	
15 Plaintiff filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a	United
16 States Magistrate Judge as provided by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).	
17 On November 8, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recomm	mendations,
18 which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any c	bjections to
19 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither par	rty has filed
20 objections to the findings and recommendations.	
21 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. <i>See Orand v</i>	v. United
22 States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law	v are
23 reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.
24 1983). Having carefully reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommen	ndations to
25 be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
26 /////	

1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 8, 2011 are adopted in
3	full; and
4	2. Defendant's August 16, 2011 motion to dismiss is denied.
5	DATED: March 13, 2012.
6	Amele
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	/john0021.804
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2