1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	AURORA LOAN SERVICES, LLC.,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV-S-11-0087-LKK-KJN-PS
12	VS.
13	THANH VAN LE, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	
16	On May 23, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which
17	were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and
18	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.
19	Accordingly, the court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v.
20	United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
21	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.
22	1983).
23	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
24	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the Proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.
25	////
26	////
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:
2	1. The Proposed Findings and Recommendations filed May 23, 2011, are ADOPTED;
3	2. Plaintiff's motion to remand (Dkt. No. 8) is granted;
4	3. This matter is remanded to the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento,
5	on the ground that this court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims; and
6	4. The Clerk of Court shall vacate all dates and close this case.
7	DATED: December 12, 2011.
8	
9	Jamme K Karlton
10	LÀWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I