

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LESLEY E. PHILLIPS,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:11-cv-0089 JAM DAD PS

vs.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK FA,

Defendant.

ORDER SETTING STATUS
(PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)
CONFERENCE

_____/

On January 10, 2011, plaintiff paid the required filing fee and filed a complaint concerning a mortgage loan. The action was assigned to the undersigned for all purposes encompassed by Local Rule 302(c)(21).

Good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday, May 20, 2011, at 11:00 a.m.** at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27 before the undersigned;

2. Within fourteen (14) days after plaintiff is served with this order, plaintiff shall serve a copy of the order on defendant. Within five (5) days after serving copies of this order on defendant, plaintiff shall file with the court a certificate of service indicating the date and manner of service of this order on defendant;

1 3. Any party may appear at the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference
2 telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the courtroom
3 deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128 at least 48 hours before the Status
4 (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference;

5 4. Plaintiff shall file and serve a status report on or before **May 6, 2011**, and
6 defendant shall file and serve a status report on or before **May 13, 2011**. Each party's status
7 report shall address all of the following matters:

- 8 a. Progress of service of process;
- 9 b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
- 10 c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
- 11 d. Jurisdiction and venue;
- 12 e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
- 13 f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof,
14 including disclosure of expert witnesses;
- 15 g. Future proceedings, including the setting of
16 appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and for law
and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial
conference and trial;
- 17 h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures
18 specified by the rules due to the relative simplicity
or complexity of the action;
- 19 i. Whether the case is related to any other case,
20 including matters in bankruptcy;
- 21 j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate
22 judge assigned to this matter acting as settlement
judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of his
23 so acting, or whether they prefer to have a
Settlement Conference before another magistrate
judge;
- 24 k. Whether the parties intend to consent to proceed
25 before a United States Magistrate Judge; and
- 26 l. Any other matters that may aid in the just and
expeditious disposition of this action;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

5. The pro se plaintiff is informed that failure to file a timely status report or failure to appear at the status conference in person or telephonically may result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed for lack of prosecution and as a sanction for failure to comply with court orders and applicable rules. See Local Rules 110 and 183; and

6. The pro se plaintiff is cautioned that Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that a defendant must be dismissed if service of process is not accomplished on that defendant within 120 days from the date the complaint is filed.

DATED: January 24, 2011.



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:kw
Ddad1\orders.prose\phillips0089.oss