Doc. 9

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CIV. S-11-0320 JAM KJN 2 Plaintiff, 3 v. APPROXIMATELY \$20,000.00 IN U.S. 4 CURRENCY. 5 Defendant. 6 7 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve the same parties, are based on the 8 same or similar claims, the same property transaction or event, similar questions of fact and the 10 same questions of law, and would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by 11 different judges. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties. 12 13 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is 14 effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the 15 16 judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated CIV. S-11-0320 JAM KJN 17 is reassigned to District Judge Frank C. Damrell, Jr. for all further proceedings. Having already 18 19 been randomly assigned to Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman, it does not require 20 reassignment of the Magistrate Judge. Any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be 21 shown as: CIV. S-11-0320 FCD KJN. 22 23

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDER that the Clerk of Court issue an Order Requiring a Joint Status Report for the undersigned.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 4, 2011

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE