-EFB (PS) Louie v. Stomer Family 2000 Revocable Trust et al

Doc. 18

1 TOLTEC INVESTORS and DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC and DAN 2 ANDREASON dba CLEAN CLEAN RITE and DOES 1-20; SUTTER ORHARD SUPPLY and JAMES E, ABBOTT and 4 DOES 1-20; AATCO TRANSMISSION and DOES 1-20; DAVID & SANDRA LANZA and ACCESS DENTAL; BALWINDER & RANJINDER MALHI and NICE INN MOTEL; TERYAKI HOUSE and DOES 1-20; SERRA HEALTH CARE and DOES 1-20; JAMES M. SIKKER, DC and DOES 1-20 and 9 KAMALJET KAHLOM and DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

I, CRIS C. VAUGHAN, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney at law duly admitted to practice before this Court and represent Defendants, STROMER FAMILY 2000 REVOCABLE TRUST dba STROMER REALTY sued erroneously as STOMER FAMILY 2000 REVOCABLE TRUST; JOE'S QUALITY AUTO REPAIR; TOLTEC INVESTORS AND DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC; DAN ANDREASON dba CLEAN RITE sued erroneously as DAN ANDREASON dba CLEAN CLEAN RITE; SUTTER ORCHARD SUPPLY and JAMES E. ABBOTT; AATCO TRANSMISSION; DAVID & SANDRA LANZA and ACCESS DENTAL; and JAMES M. SILLER, DC sued erroneously as JAMES M. SIKKER, DC, in this matter.
- 2. I have prepared and filed prior to the filing of this Ex Parte Application for an Extension of Time to Respond to the Complaint, a Motion to Sever or Dismiss Misjoined Defendants in this matter. The hearing date for this Motion is March 16, 2011.
- 3. Prior to preparing this Ex Parte Application for an Extension of Time to Respond to the Complaint, I spoke with the Pro Per Plaintiff, George Louie, by

1	telephone. Mr. Louie stated during our call that he would not grant any extensions of
2	time to respond to the Complaint thereby making this Ex Parte Application necessary.
3	4. The Complaint in this matter names seventeen (17) defendants which
4	include at least sixteen (16) different businesses at different business locations in the
5	Yuba City, California area. The Complaint alleges violation of the Americans with
6	Disabilities Act and California State Law based upon a contention that the parking lot of
7	each property is not accessible.
8	5. This Ex Parte Application seeks an extension of time up to and including
9	fifteen (15) days following the Court's ruling on the Motion to Sever Defendants for the
10	filing of a response by each defendant represented by this office.
11	I declare under penalty of perjury in accordance with the laws of the United
12	States that the foregoing is true and correct.
13	Executed on this 8th day of February, 2011 at Loomis, California.
14	
15	By: /s/ Cris C. Vaughan
16	CRIS C. VAUGHAN
17	ODDED
18	ORDER
19	The Ex Parte Application by counsel for Defendants for an extension of time up to and
20	including fourteen (14) days following the Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion to Sever
21	Misjoined Defendants is granted. Defendants' Answer shall be filed no later than fourteen (14)
22	days following the Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion to Sever Misjoined Defendants.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED
24	Dated: February 9, 2011.

25

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE