

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SERGEI PORTNOY,
Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-136 GEB DAD (TEMP) PS
vs.
AMERICAN HONDA FINANCIAL
SERVICES,
Defendant. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

By order filed January 19, 2011, plaintiff was ordered to show cause no later than January 28, 2011 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the order to show cause. There being no evident basis for subject matter jurisdiction, the court will recommend that this action be dismissed.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file

1 written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate
2 Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
3 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.
4 Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: February 2, 2011.

6
7 
8 _____
9 DALE A. DROZD
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 JMM
12 portnoy-honda.nosmj

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26