

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JUAN LORENZO RANKIN,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 2: 11-cv-0145 MCE JFM (PS)

12 vs.

13 SUISUN POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. On January 30, 2013, defendants
17 Arroyo, Martinez and Sousa filed a motion for summary judgment. The motion was noticed for
18 hearing on March 7, 2013.

19 Local Rule 230(c) states that opposition to the granting of the motion shall be in
20 writing and shall be filed and served not less than fourteen days preceding the noticed hearing
21 date. If a party has no opposition to the granting of the motion, the party shall serve and file a
22 statement to that effect. Plaintiff has not complied with the local rules by either filing an
23 opposition or statement of non-opposition to the defendants' motion for summary judgment.
24 Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be grounds for
25 imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of
26 the Court."

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. Within fourteen (14) days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file an
3 opposition, if any, to defendants' motion for summary judgment or a statement of non-opposition
4 to the motion. Failure to file and serve either an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to
5 the motion within fourteen days may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed
6 pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b); and

7 2. The hearing date set for March 7, 2013 on defendants' motion for summary
8 judgment is VACATED.

9 DATED: March 4, 2013.

10
11 
12 _____
13 DALE A. DROZD
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 14
14 rank0145.osc