Doc. 9

detention "by Officers of the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department." (Dkt. No. 1.) In an order dated March 10, 2011, the undersigned granted plaintiffs' application to proceed in forma pauperis. (Dkt. No. 3.)

In an order dated May 5, 2011, the undersigned ordered the service of plaintiff's complaint on all defendants. (Dkt. No. 5.) That order directed the Clerk of Court to send certain materials to plaintiff in relation to service of his complaint, and the Clerk of Court did so on May 5, 2011. (Dkt. No. 6.) The court's order listed the various documents plaintiff would need to provide to the U.S. Marshal in order to effectuate service. (Dkt. No. 5 at 3.) The order also provided that: "Plaintiff shall supply the United States Marshal, within 30 days from the date this order is filed, all information needed by the Marshal to effectuate service of process, and shall, within 10 days thereafter, file a statement with the court that such documents have been submitted to the United States Marshal." (Id. (emphasis in original).) The order also stated that "Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed." (Id. at 4.)

As of the date of this order, plaintiff has not filed the required statement notifying the court that she timely submitted the required service documents to the United States Marshal. Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's order and prosecute her lawsuit are grounds for dismissal. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rules 110 ("Failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court."), 183(a) (providing that a party proceeding without counsel is "is bound by the Federal Rules of Civil or Criminal Procedure, these Rules, and all other applicable law," and that failure to comply with these authorities "may be ground for dismissal, judgment by default, or any other sanction appropriate under these Rules"); see Hells Canyon Preservation Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) (recognizing that courts may dismiss an action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) sua sponte for a plaintiff's failure to prosecute or

comply with the rules of civil procedure or the court's orders); <u>Ghazali v. Moran</u>, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam) ("Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal."); <u>King v. Atiyeh</u>, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987) ("Pro se litigants must follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants.").

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. On or before July 6, 2011, if she has not already done so, plaintiff shall supply the United States Marshal all information needed by the Marshal to effectuate service of process as described in the court's order dated May 5, 2011. (Dkt. No. 5.) Also, on or before July 6, 2011, plaintiff shall file a statement with the court that such documents have been submitted to the United States Marshal.
- 2. On or before July 6, 2011, plaintiff shall file a written statement explaining her failure to timely follow the court's order dated May 5, 2011 (Dkt. No. 5) and showing good cause why her lawsuit should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with the court's order.
- 3. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions which may include a recommendation that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 23, 2011

KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE