1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DAVID REYES,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-0219 GGH P
12	VS.
13	R. MITCHELL, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant
17	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 23, 2011, plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel was
18	denied and he was ordered to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis in thirty days.
19	Plaintiff has not filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis and has instead again requested
20	counsel because he is incompetent and unable to file the application himself. Plaintiff has also
21	requested a competency hearing.
22	Plaintiff's request for counsel is denied for the same reasons set forth in the
23	February 23, 2011, order. Nor is plaintiff's competency an issue in this case for screening. If
24	plaintiff wishes to proceed with this case he must file an application to proceed in forma pauperis
25	and then the court will screen the complaint. Plaintiff will be granted 14 days from service of
26	this order to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis.
	1

1	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. Plaintiff's March 15, 2011, motion is denied;
3	2. Plaintiff must file an application to proceed in forma pauperis within 14 days
4	of service of this order or the undersigned will recommend that this action be dismissed.
5	DATED: March 28, 2011
6	
7	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
8	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	GGH: AB reye0219.ord
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2