EXHIBIT E

1	WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & BIR Thomas G. Redmon (SBN 47090)	NEY, LLP	
2	TRedmon@wilkefleury.com		
3	Daniel L. Baxter (SBN 203862) DBaxter@wilkefleury.com		
4	400 Capitol Mall, 22 nd Floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
5	Phone: (916) 441-2430 Fax: (916) 442-6664		
6	NIRO, HALLER & NIRO		
7	Raymond P. Niro (Admitted Pro hac vice) RNiro@nshn.com		
8	Raymond P. Niro, Jr. (Admitted Pro hac vice) RNiroJr@nshn.com		
	Brian E. Haan (Admitted Pro hac vice)		
9	BHaan@nshn.com Anna B. Folgers (Admitted Pro hac vice)		
10	AFolgers@nshn.com 181 West Madison, Suite 4600		
11	Chicago, IL 60602-4515 Phone: (312) 236-0733		
12	Fax: (312) 236-3137		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff, IconFind, Inc.		
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	ICONFIND, INC.,	Case No. 2:11-cv-00319-GEB-JFM	
16			
17	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO	
18	V.	DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC. NOS. 1-45	
19	GOOGLE INC.,		
20	Defendant.		
	In accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 34, Plaintiff, Iconfind Inc. ("IconFind"), by and through		
21	counsel, requests that Defendant, Google, Inc. ("Google"), produce the documents and things		
22	described in the following requests for production within 30 (thirty) days of service. These		
23	requests for production shall be deemed continuing so as to require the requested information as		
24			
25			
26			
I	I		

of the date of service of Google's answers thereto and also as to require prompt supplementation whenever the conditions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e) are met.

IconFind will examine the documents at the offices of its counsel, or where the documents are maintained by Google, or at any other mutually agreeable location where suitable examination and photocopying facilities exist or can be arranged. By accepting photocopies, IconFind is not waiving its right to examine originals where necessary.

All electronically stored information that is responsive to one or more of the categories set forth below shall be produced in the native format in which the information is kept in the ordinary course of business, except for machine readable files such as object code, binary files and the like which shall be produced electronically in a format that can reasonably be read and understood using conventional word processing, file viewing or equivalent commercially available software technology.

Where Google withholds documents for reasons of attorney-client privilege, work-product immunity or the like, IconFind requests that it be served with a list of such documents prepared in accordance with applicable case law, including at least the names and titles or functions of the authors; any recipients; the date; the basis for withholding; and a description of the document and its subject matter sufficient to allow IconFind to contest the claim. IconFind will accept confidential documents under a suitable protective order.

In cases where Google believes there is no responsive document, or where it is maintained for a different period or fiscal year, IconFind asks that Google produce the best available documents from which the information sought by the request may be derived. (In the case of financial information, it is usually possible to derive the desired information if it is not already available).

These requests are intended to seek documents and things as broadly as those words are defined by Fed.R.Civ.P. 34 and applicable case law. The following definitions are applicable to terms employed in these requests:

DEFINITIONS

"Google" refers to Google, Inc., and any of its predecessors, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, related companies and other business entities controlled by the Google, as well as their agents, owners, employees, beneficiaries, representatives and attorneys, and each person acting or purporting to act on its behalf or under its control.

"Accused Google Instrumentality" means each Google website that incorporates and facilitates Creative Commons licenses ("the Creative Commons Feature"), including but not limited to Google Knol (www.knol.google.com), Google Books (www.books.google.com) and Google Picasa (www.picasa.google.com) and all software and hardware which provide and support these websites, including without limitation, databases, interfaces, computer networks, servers, storage systems, entry systems and processing systems.

"Patent-In-Suit" or "'459 Patent" mean U.S. Patent No. 7,181,459 B2, entitled "Method Of Coding, Categorizing, And Retrieving Network Pages And Sites," which issued on February 20, 2007.

"Relevant" means documents and other information that are relevant under Fed.R.Civ.P. 26 and/or Fed.R.Evid. 401-02; or that Google intends to use to support its allegations or defenses; or which tend to prove or disprove any of IconFind's allegations or defenses.

"Relate," "relating" or "related" mean relevant to, pertaining to, referring to, alluding to, responding to, concerning, connected with, commenting on, in respect of, about, regarding, discussing, evidencing, showing, describing, reflecting, analyzing and/or constituting.

"And," "or" as well as "and/or" shall be construed disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary in order to bring within the scope of the request all responses which otherwise might be construed to be outside its scope.

"Document(s)" refers to the broadest definition of document and electronically stored information under the Federal Rules, e.g., anything which would be a "writing" or "recording" pursuant to Rule 1001(1) of the Federal Rules of Evidence or "document" or "electronically stored information" including software code, pursuant to Rule 34(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A draft or a non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.

"Thing(s)" means any tangible item, and shall be construed as broadly as possible under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

"Person(s)" refers to both natural persons and corporate or other business entities (including Google) whether in the employ of Google or not, and the acts of a person (including Google) are defined to include the acts of directors, officers, owners, members, employees, agents or attorneys acting on the person's behalf.

"Infringe," "infringes," or "infringed" refers to any form of infringement actionable under United States law, including without limitation, direct infringement, contributory infringement and inducement to infringe.

"Prior art" includes by way of example and without limitation, the subject matter described in 35 U.S.C. § 103 and in each subdivision of 35 U.S.C. § 102.

"Lawsuit" or "Litigation" means <u>Iconfind Inc.</u> v. <u>Google, Inc.</u>, Case No. 2:11-cv-00319-GEB-JFM in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

REQUESTS

Iconfind requests production of all documents and things relating to the following categories:

- 1. All documents identified in Google's responses to any of Iconfind's interrogatories in this action, such as Iconfind's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-15), including, but not limited to, all documents consulted in the formulation of Google's responses thereto as well as all documents relating to the subject matter of the interrogatories.
- 2. Documents sufficient to show the past and present organizational and operational structure of Google since 2007, including all divisions or subsidiaries, entities owned or controlled by Google, affiliates, predecessors or successors in interest, whether in the United States or anywhere else in the world (such as, without limitation, corporate family organizational charts), and the identity of any officers, employees and sales agents, or representatives (such as, without limitation, departmental officer/employee organizational charts).
- 3. All documents sufficient to identify past and present officers, employees, agents, consultants or representatives of Google who has and/or has had any involvement in the incorporation, integration, development, testing or past and present functionality of the Creative Commons Feature in the Accused Google Instrumentality.
- 4. All documents pertaining to the reasons why and the circumstances under which the Accused Google Instrumentality was conceived, designed, developed, manufactured, and commercially exploited.
- 5. All documents pertaining to Google's decision to incorporate the Creative Commons Feature into the Google Accused Instrumentality.
- 6. Documents sufficient to establish when the Creative Commons Feature was first used in and incorporated into the Accused Google Instrumentality and the circumstances surrounding such first use and incorporation.
- 7. Documents sufficient to show the structure, function and operating characteristics of the Accused Google Instrumentality including without limitation design

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC. NOS. 1-45

- 5 -

schematics (for both discrete parts and the entire system), source code, flowcharts, specification documents, test plans, algorithms, pseudo-code, customer service/assistance manuals and guidelines, and system protocols. All source code is specifically requested with comments intact and on computer readable media.

- 8. To the extent not requested in No. 7, documents sufficient to show the structure, function and operating characteristics of Creative Commons Feature in the Accused Google Instrumentality including without limitation design schematics (for both discrete parts and whole systems), source code, diagrams, flowcharts, specification documents, drawings, datasheets, requirements documents, test plans, set-up guides, customer service/assistance manuals and guidelines, instruction manuals, algorithms, pseudo-code, and system protocols.
- 9. All source code is specifically requested with comments intact and on computer readable media.
- 10. Documents sufficient to identify the designer and/or supplier of the Accused Google Instrumentality.
- 11. One copy of the hypertext markup language (html, xml, jhtml or any other markup) code for the Accused Google Instrumentality including copies of all support files of any type.
- 12. All documents relating to the existence, substance or circumstance of any communications (i.e., the transmittal of information in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise) or correspondence (such as notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc.) between Google and any other person relating to the '459 Patent or the Lawsuit.
- 13. All documents relating to the existence, substance or circumstance of any communications (i.e., the transmittal of information in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or

otherwise) or correspondence (such as notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc.) between Google and IconFind and/or Lee H. Grant.

- 14. All documents relating to the existence, substance or circumstance of any communications (i.e., the transmittal of information in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise) or correspondence (such as notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc.) between Google and Creative Commons Corporation.
- 15. Documents sufficient to identify Google's business relationship with Creative Commons Corporation, including but not limited to license agreements, software license agreements, service agreements, support agreements, service agreements, confidentiality agreements, partnership agreements, revenue sharing agreements, joint venture agreements, and any other type of agreement or contract, between Google and Creative Commons
- 16. Documents sufficient to establish the total number of web pages, photographs, books, pages of books or other media or content that have been designated with a Creative Commons label or licensed with a Creative Commons license through the Accused Google Instrumentality since February 20, 2007.
- 17. Summary documents relating to Google's revenues, costs (fixed and variable), gross profit and net profit realized by Google from the operation and use of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including without limitation advertising revenue from 2007 to the present, with projections through calendar year 2010.
- 18. Summary documents relating to Google's revenues, costs (fixed and variable), gross profit and net profit realized by Google from the operation and use of the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including without limitation advertising revenue from 2007 to the present, with projections through calendar year 2010.

- 19. Valuations pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to valuations of the Creative Commons Feature.
- 20. All documents relating to business plans, business meetings, financial forecasts, or other financial activities involving the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature.
- 21. All documents relating to communications with potential investors or other financially interested parties relating to the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature.
- 22. Summary documents relating to the daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly and annual website usage statistics of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including those provided by any web analytics software or platform, from 2007 to the present.
- 23. All documents sufficient to establish the daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly and annual website usage statistics of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including those provided by any web analytics software or platform, including without limitation, (a) the number of client requests made to Google's server(s) to assign a Creative Commons designation to a page; (b) the number of client requests made to Google's server(s) to access pages containing a Creative Commons designation; and (c) the number of client requests made to Google's server(s) to search for a page with a Creative Commons designation, from 2007 to the present.
- 24. All documents that constitute, refer to, reflect or identify any marketing, promotion and advertising pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature, such as marketing research, marketing plans, market demand analyses, market share analyses, market research, customer surveys, and related press releases.
- 25. All documents that constitute, refer to, reflect or identify any report, business plan, strategic plan, prospectus, offering memorandum or similar document pertaining to the

Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature, such as financial forecasts, business meetings, and related press releases.

- 26. All documents pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentally, specifically pertaining to but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature, used, distributed, displayed or presented in trade shows, technical workshops, user conferences, user forums, user summits, developer conferences, developer forums, developer summits or similar documents, such as presentations, demonstrations and technical papers.
- 27. All documents pertaining to the existence, substance or circumstance of any feedback (positive and negative) between Google and its customers pertaining to the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including, without limitation, all troubleshooting communications.
- 28. All documents pertaining to recognition, praise, awards and the like for the Accused Google Instrumentality, including documents pertaining to but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature.
- 29. All documents pertaining to the existence, substance or circumstance of any competitive analyses pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentality, including documents pertaining to, but not limited to, the Creative Commons Feature.
- 30. Documents sufficient to identify the date Google first learned of and/or received "notice of infringement" of the '459 Patent.
 - 31. Any and all document retention policies in effect at Google since 2007.
- 32. All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim I and First Affirmative Defense alleging that "Google has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '459 patent."

- 33. All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim II and Second Affirmative Defense that the "[t]he '459 patent is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because it "fails to claim patentable subject matter insofar as it seeks to claim an abstract idea."
- 34. All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim II that the '459 Patent is invalid for failure to meet the "conditions of patentability of 35 USC § 102 because the inventions are "is taught by" or "suggested by" the "prior art."
- 35. All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim II and Second Affirmative Defense that the '459 Patent is invalid for failure to meet "the conditions for patentability" of 35 USC § 103 because the alleged invention are "obvious in view of" the "prior art."
- 36. All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim II and Second Affirmative Defense that the '459 Patent is invalid because it fails to meet "the conditions for patentability" of 35 USC § 112 because the inventions are "unsupported by the written description of the patented invention."
- 37. All documents Google intends to use to support its Third Affirmative Defense that "IconFind's claim for damages, if any, against Google for alleged infringement of the '459 patent are limited by 35 U.S.C. §§ 286, 287, and/or 288."
- 38. All documents Google intends to use to support its Fourth Affirmative Defense that "[o]n information and belief, IconFind's claims for relief are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of laches and estoppel."
- 39. All documents Google intends to use to support its Fifth Affirmative Defense that "[a]ny and all products or actions accused of infringement have substantial uses that do not infringe and do not induce or contribute to the alleged infringement of the claims of the '459 Patent."
 - 40. All documents and things that Google contends are prior art to the '459 Patent.

lidity, non- , reviewed, archased by	
urchased by	
·	
opinions of	
counsel sought or obtained by Google that relate in any way to the '459 Patent or the subject	
matter of this Lawsuit.	
of skill of a	
person of ordinary skill in the art of the '459 Patent.	
ction in this	
third party	
OULD &	
, Inc.	
· ·	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 The undersigned hereby certifies that on May 17, 2011 the foregoing 2 PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANT 3 **GOOGLE INC. NOS. 1-45** 4 was served via electronic transmission to the following counsel of record: 5 Michael J. Malecek 6 Michael.malecek@kayescholer.com Kenneth Maikish 7 Kenneth.maikish@kayescholer.com Kaye Scholer LLP 8 Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 400 3000 El Camino Real 9 Palo Alto, California 94306 Telephone: (650) 319-4500 10 Facsimile: (650) 319-4700 11 Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc. 12 I certify that all parties in this case are represented by counsel who are CM/ECF participants. 13 14 /s/ Anna B. Folgers Attorneys for Plaintiff 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25