EXHIBIT F

RESPONSES & OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF RFPs

Case No. 2:11-CV-00319 GEB JFM

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Google Inc. ("Google"), by its attorneys, Kaye Scholer LLP, responds and objects to Plaintiff Iconfind, Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (the "Requests") as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. Google objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek information or material protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Any inadvertent production of any privileged documents in response to the Requests is not intended to constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege and/or protection.
- 2. Google objects to the Requests to the extent that any of them, read alone or in conjunction with the "Definitions" and/or "Instructions," purports to impose obligations not imposed or contemplated by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, or any agreements or stipulations entered into by the parties.
- 3. Google objects to the Requests to the extent they seek documents that are not relevant to the subject matter of this action and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 4. Google objects to the Requests to the extent they seek documents that are already in Plaintiff's possession, custody, or control, or that are publicly available or are as readily available to Plaintiff as they are to Google.
- 5. Google objects to the Requests to the extent they seek documents whose disclosure is governed by Google's agreements with third parties, including confidentiality agreements.

 Google will produce such documents only after complying with, and in compliance with, the terms of such third-party agreements.
- 6. Google objects to the Requests to the extent they are vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, or duplicative.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 7. Google expressly reserves the right to object to the admissibility at trial of these Responses and Objections or any documents or information produced in response to these Requests. Neither Google's Responses and Objections to the Requests nor the production of materials in response to the Requests is intended as an admission or concession of the admissibility of any information contained herein.
- Google objects to the Requests to the extent they assume disputed facts or legal conclusions in defining the information requested. Google denies any such disputed facts or legal conclusions assumed by the Requests, and any response or objection to any Request is without prejudice to this objection.
- 9. Google objects to the Requests, and to each and every individual request contained therein, to the extent they call for Google to identify privileged documents created after the filing of this lawsuit on the grounds that such Requests are overly broad and unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and would call for counsel to review all of its files and list most of the documents therein on a privilege log.
- 10. Google objects to the Requests to the extent they seek information protected by or involving trade secrets or other confidential research, development, proprietary, or commercial information that would not be covered by a Discovery Confidentiality Order, Protective Order, or similar Order issued by the Court.
- 11. Google objects to the Requests to the extent they call for the production of documents that are publicly available.
- Google objects to the Requests to the extent they call for production of documents 12. that are not within its possession, custody, or control.
- 13. Google objects to the Requests to the extent they use undefined, vague, and ambiguous terms.
- Google objects to the Requests to the extent they purport to require Google to draw 14. a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of any terms or phrases used.

- 15. Google objects to the Requests to the extent they exceed the limits of permissible discovery allowed under any court order or the local rules.
- 16. Google reserves the right at any time to revise, correct, add to, supplement, or clarify any of the responses contained herein.
- Google objects to Plaintiff's definition of "Google" as ambiguous, vague, 17. overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent that it seeks to include within its scope all of Google's officers, directors, employees, partners, subsidiaries, affiliates, accountants, and agents. Google further objects to the term to the extent that it purports to include attorneys within its scope and thus seeks discovery of information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege and/or immunity.
- 18. Google objects to Plaintiff's definition of "Accused Google Instrumentality" as ambiguous, vague, overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google will produce only documents related to Google Knol, Google Picasa and Google Books.
- To the extent that Plaintiff offered a definition of "the Creative Commons Feature," 19. Google objects to this definition as ambiguous, vague, overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 20. Google objects to Plaintiff's definition of "relevant" as ambiguous, vague, overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- Google objects to Plaintiff's definitions of "relate," "relating," or "related" as 21. ambiguous, vague, overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 22. Google objects to Plaintiff's definition of "document(s)" as ambiguous, vague, overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 23. Google objects to Plaintiff's definition of "thing(s)," as ambiguous, vague, overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 24. Google objects to Plaintiff's definitions of "infringe," "infringes," or "infringed" as overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google further objects to these definitions to the extent that they require Google to draw a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of "direct infringement," "contributory infringement," and/or "inducement to infringe."
- Google objects to Plaintiff's definition of "prior art" as overbroad, unduly 25. burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google further objects to this definition to the extent that it requires Google to draw a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103.
- 26. Google's agreement to produce responsive documents does not and shall not constitute an admission that such responsive documents in fact exist. Rather, Google's agreement to produce is only an agreement to produce responsive documents found after a reasonable search, if in fact such responsive documents are found to exist.
- The fact that Google produces documents pursuant to these requests is in no way to 27. be construed as a concession by Google that any document is relevant to, or admissible in, any proceeding related to this action.
- 28. Google objects to Plaintiff's Instructions especially insofar as they deviate from the parties' Document Production Agreement. Google's production of documents and its making available of source code will be in conformity with the Document Production Agreement and the Protective Ordered as entered by the Court in this matter.

29. All of the General Objections set forth herein are hereby incorporated into each specific response set forth below and have the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.

GENERAL STATEMENTS

The following General Statements apply to all of Google's responses to the Requests.

- 1. All responses are made subject to the foregoing General Objections and these General Statements, which may not be repeated in each specific response. To the extent specific General Objections and/or General Statements are cited in a specific response, those specific citations are provided because they are believed to be particularly relevant to the specific interrogatory and are not to be construed as a waiver of any other General Objection or General Statement applicable to information falling within the scope of the request.
- 2. Where a partial response can be made to a request that is otherwise objectionable, such partial response will be made without waiving any stated objection.
 - 3. These responses are made without waiver of, and with preservation of:
 - a. all questions as to competency, relevancy, materiality, privilege, and admissibility of each response herein as evidence in any further proceeding in this action, including trial;
 - b. the right to object to the use of any response herein, or the subject matter thereof, in any further proceedings in this action, including trial, and in any other lawsuit or proceedings;
 - c. the right to object on any ground at any time to a demand or request for a further response to this or any other discovery involving or relating to the subject matter of the responses herein provided; and
 - d. the right at any time to revise, correct, add to, supplement, or clarify any of the responses contained herein.

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

In responding to the Requests, Google does not concede that any of the information sought or provided is relevant, material, admissible in evidence, or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

STATEMENT OF SUPPLEMENTATION

Google's investigation in this action remains ongoing, and Google reserves the right to rely on and introduce information in addition to any information provided herein at the trial of this matter or in other related proceedings. Google has yet to receive complete discovery from Plaintiff. Google anticipates that facts it learns in the later litigation may be responsive to one or more of the Requests and Google reserves its right to supplement these responses at appropriate points throughout this litigation without prejudice and/or to otherwise make available to Plaintiff such information. Google also reserves the right to change, modify or enlarge the following responses based on additional information, further analysis, and/or in light of events in the litigation such as rulings by the Court. Google reserves the right to rely or otherwise use any such amended response for future discovery, trial, or otherwise.

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All documents identified in Google's responses to any of Iconfind's interrogatories in this action, such as Iconfind's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-15), including, but not limited to, all documents consulted in the formulation of Google's responses thereto as well as all documents relating to the subject matter of the interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request as it fails to acknowledge Plaintiff's communication of June 14, 2011 in which Plaintiff withdrew Interrogatory Nos. 2, 6, 7, and 9-16. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks KAYE SCHOLER LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "documents consulted in the formulation of," and "documents relating to the subject matter of the interrogatories." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Documents sufficient to show the past and present organizational and operational structure of Google since 2007, including all divisions or subsidiaries, entities owned or controlled by Google, affiliates, predecessors or successors in interest, whether in the United States or anywhere else in the world (such as, without limitation, corporate family organizational charts), and the identity of any officers, employees and sales agents, or representatives (such as, without limitation, departmental officer/employee organizational charts).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome in that it is directed towards the company as a whole rather than the accused product or functionality. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous,

27

28

26

19

20

21

22

23

24

including but not limited to "organizational and operational structure," "entities owned or controlled by Google," "predecessors or successors in interest," "corporate family organizational charts," "representatives," and "departmental officer/employee organizational charts." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google further objects to this Request as seeking documents which are not relevant to this matter and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in that it is direct toward the company as a whole rather than any accused product or functionality and is not limited to a time frame relevant to this litigation.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All documents sufficient to identify past and present officers, employees, agents, consultants or representatives of Google who has and/or has had any involvement in the incorporation, integration, development, testing or past and present functionality of the Creative Commons Feature in the Accused Google Instrumentality.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "consultants or representatives of Google," "incorporation, integration, development, testing or past and present functionality," and "Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All documents pertaining to the reasons why and the circumstances under which the Accused Google Instrumentality was conceived, designed, developed, manufactured, and commercially exploited.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "documents pertaining to the reasons why and the circumstances under which," and "conceived, designed, developed, manufactured, and commercially exploited." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

27

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All documents pertaining to Google's decision to incorporate the Creative Commons Feature into the Google Accused Instrumentality.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "Google's decision to incorporate," and "the Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO 6:

Documents sufficient to establish when the Creative Commons Feature was first used in and incorporated into the Accused Google Instrumentality and the circumstances surrounding such first use and incorporation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "first used," "incorporated into," "circumstances surrounding such first use," and "the Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Documents sufficient to show the structure, function and operating characteristics of the Accused Google Instrumentality including without limitation design schematics (for both discrete parts and the entire system), source code, flowcharts, specification documents, test plans, algorithms, pseudo-code, customer service/assistance manuals and guidelines, and system protocols. All source code is specifically requested with comments intact and on computer readable media.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "structure, function and operating characteristics," "design

schematics," "source code," "flowcharts," "specification documents," "test plans," "algorithms," "pseudo-code," "customer service/assistance manuals and guidelines," and "system protocols." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search. To the extent that this Request seeks source code, such will be not be produced, but instead will be made available for inspection pursuant to the terms of the protective order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

To the extent not requested in No. 7, documents sufficient to show the structure, function and operating characteristics of Creative Commons Feature in the Accused Google Instrumentality including without limitation design schematics (for both discrete parts and whole systems), source code, diagrams, flowcharts, specification documents, drawings, datasheets, requirements documents, test plans, set-up guides, customer service/assistance manuals and guidelines, instruction manuals, algorithms, pseudo-code, and system protocols.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome, unreasonable cumulative, and duplicative. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "structure, function and operating characteristics," "Creative Commons Feature," "design schematics," "source code," "diagrams,"

"flowcharts," "specification documents," "drawings," "datasheets," "requirements documents," "test plans," "set-up guides," "customer service/assistance manuals and guidelines," "instruction manuals," "algorithms," "pseudo-code," and "system protocols." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search. To the extent that this Request seeks source code, such will be not be produced, but instead will be made available for inspection pursuant to the terms of the protective order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

All source code is specifically requested with comments intact and on computer readable media.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

This does not appear to be a document request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Documents sufficient to identify the designer and/or supplier of the Accused Google Instrumentality.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome, unreasonable cumulative, and duplicative. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this

Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "designer and/or supplier." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

One copy of the hypertext markup language (html, xml, jhtml or any other markup) code for the Accused Google Instrumentality including copies of all support files of any type.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome, unreasonable cumulative, and duplicative. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "hypertext markup language," "any other markup," "code for," and "support files." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this request insofar as it is equally easy for Plaintiff to obtain such documents as it is for Google. Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to discuss clarifying this document request and narrowing it to a more appropriate scope.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

All documents relating to the existence, substance or circumstance of any communications (i.e., the transmittal of information in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise) or correspondence (such as notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc.) between Google and any other person relating to the '459 Patent or the Lawsuit.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request to the extent it purports to require Google to draw a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of "relating to the '459 Patent or the Lawsuit." Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "any communications," "form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise," "correspondence," and "notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

All documents relating to the existence, substance or circumstance of any communications (i.e., the transmittal of information in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise) or correspondence (such as notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc.) between Google and IconFind and/or Lee H. Grant.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "communications," "in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise," "correspondence," "notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc.," and "between Google and IconFind and/or Lee H. Grant." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

All documents relating to the existence, substance or circumstance of any communications (i.e., the transmittal of information in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise) or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

correspondence (such as notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc.) between Google and Creative Commons Corporation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents whose disclosure is governed by Google's agreements with third parties, including confidentiality agreements. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "relating to the existence, substance or circumstance," "communications," "in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise," "correspondence," "notes, e-mail, letters, memoranda, telephone call notes, calendar entries, etc.," and "between Google and Creative Commons Corporation." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

25 26

27

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

Documents sufficient to identify Google's business relationship with Creative Commons Corporation, including but not limited to license agreements, software license agreements, service agreements, support agreements, service agreements, confidentiality agreements, partnership agreements, revenue sharing agreements, joint venture agreements, and any other type of agreement or contract, between Google and Creative Commons.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents whose disclosure is governed by Google's agreements with third parties, including confidentiality agreements. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "business relationship," "Creative Commons Corporation," and "between Google and Creative Commons." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

Documents sufficient to establish the total number of web pages, photographs, books, pages of books or other media or content that have been designated with a Creative Commons

label or licensed with a Creative Commons license through the Accused Google Instrumentality since February 20, 2007.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "total number," "web pages," "photographs," "books", "pages of books," "other media or content," "designated with a Creative Commons label," "licensed with a Creative Commons license," and "through the Accused Google Instrumentality." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

Summary documents relating to Google's revenues, costs (fixed and variable), gross profit and net profit realized by Google from the operation and use of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including without limitation advertising revenue from 2007 to the present, with projections through calendar year 2010.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request

on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "summary documents," "relating to," "revenues . . . from the operation and use of the Accused Google Instrumentality," "costs . . . from the operation and use of the Accused Google Instrumentality," "gross profit . . . from the operation and use of the Accused Google Instrumentality," "net profit . . . from the operation and use of the Accused Google Instrumentality," "advertising revenue," "with projections through calendar year 2010." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

Summary documents relating to Google's revenues, costs (fixed and variable), gross profit and net profit realized by Google from the operation and use of the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including without limitation advertising revenue from 2007 to the present, with projections through calendar year 2010.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "summary documents," "relating to," "revenues . . . from the operation and use of the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality," "costs . . . from the operation

and use of the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality," "gross profit . . . from the operation and use of the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality," "net profit . . . from the operation and use of the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality," "the Creative Commons Feature," "advertising revenue," "with projections through calendar year 2010." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

Valuations pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to valuations of the Creative Commons Feature.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "valuations pertaining to," "valuations of," and "the Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

All documents relating to business plans, business meetings, financial forecasts, or other financial activities involving the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "relating to," "business plans," "business meetings," "financial forecasts," "other financial activities" "involving the Accused Google Instrumentality," and "the Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

All documents relating to communications with potential investors or other financially interested parties relating to the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "relating to," "potential investors," "other financially interested parties" and "the Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

Summary documents relating to the daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly and annual website usage statistics of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including those provided by any web analytics software or platform, from 2007 to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request

on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "summary documents," "relating to," website usage statistics," "web analytics software or platform." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive as it is not appropriately limited in time or limited to the accused functionality of the accused instrumentalities. Google objects to this interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive as it is not appropriately limited in time or limited to the accused functionality of the accused instrumentalities.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

All documents sufficient to establish the daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly and annual website usage statistics of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including those provided by any web analytics software or platform, including without limitation, (a) the number of client requests made to Google's server(s) to assign a Creative Commons designation to a page; (b) the number of client requests made to Google's server(s) to access pages containing a Creative Commons designation; and (c) the number of client requests made to Google's server(s) to search for a page with a Creative Commons designation, from 2007 to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request

on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "website usage statistics," "web analytics software or platform," "client requests made to Google's server(s)," "assign," "Creative Commons designation," and "page." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents." Google objects to this interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive as it is not appropriately limited in time or limited to the accused functionality of the accused instrumentalities.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

All documents that constitute, refer to, reflect or identify any marketing, promotion and advertising pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature, such as marketing research, marketing plans, market demand analyses, market share analyses, market research, customer surveys, and related press releases.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "constitute, refer to, reflect or identify," "marketing, promotion and

advertising pertaining to," "the Creative Commons Feature," and "marketing research, marketing plans, market demand analyses, market share analyses, market research, customer surveys, and related press releases." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

All documents that constitute, refer to, reflect or identify any report, business plan, strategic plan, prospectus, offering memorandum or similar document pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentality, specifically including but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature, such as financial forecasts, business meetings, and related press releases.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "constitute, refer to, reflect or identify any report, business plan, strategic plan, prospectus, offering memorandum or similar document pertaining to," "the Creative Commons Feature," and "financial forecasts, business meetings, and related press releases." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only

produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

All documents pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentally [sic], specifically pertaining to but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature, used, distributed, displayed or presented in trade shows, technical workshops, user conferences, user forums, user summits, developer conferences, developer forums, developer summits or similar documents, such as presentations, demonstrations and technical papers.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "pertaining to," "the Creative Commons Feature," "used, distributed, displayed or presented," "trade shows, technical workshops, user conferences, user forums, user summits, developer conferences, developer forums, developer summits," "similar documents, such as presentations, demonstrations and technical papers." Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to

the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search. Google will only produce "similar documents, such as presentations, demonstrations and technical papers" that "pertain[]" to the Accused Google Instrumentality.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

All documents pertaining to the existence, substance or circumstance of any feedback (positive and negative) between Google and its customers pertaining to the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality, including, without limitation, all troubleshooting communications.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "pertaining to the existence, substance or circumstance of any feedback," "between Google and its customers," and "pertaining to the Creative Commons Feature," "troubleshooting communications." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents." Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to discuss clarifying this document request and narrowing it to a more appropriate scope.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

All documents pertaining to recognition, praise, awards and the like for the Accused Google Instrumentality, including documents pertaining to but not limited to the Creative Commons Feature.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "recognition, praise, awards and the like," and "the Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

All documents pertaining to the existence, substance or circumstance of any competitive analyses pertaining to the Accused Google Instrumentality, including documents pertaining to, but not limited to, the Creative Commons Feature.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "pertaining to the existence, substance or circumstance of any competitive analyses," and "the Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive

business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

Documents sufficient to identify the date Google first learned of and/or received "notice of infringement" of the '459 Patent.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it purports to require Google to draw a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of "notice of infringement" of the '459 Patent or the Lawsuit." Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "date Google first learned of" and "notice of infringement"."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

Any and all document retention policies in effect at Google since 2007.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "document retention policies in effect." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents." Google objects to this interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive as it is not appropriately limited in time.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim I and First Affirmative Defense alleging that "Google has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '459 patent."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intends to use to support." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such

relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim II and Second Affirmative Defense that the "[t]he '459 patent is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because it "fails to claim patentable subject matter insofar as it seeks to claim an abstract idea."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intends to use to support." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim II that the '459 Patent is invalid for failure to meet the "conditions of patentability of 35 USC § 102 because the inventions are "is [sic] taught by" or "suggested by" the "prior art."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intends to use to support," "are 'is taught by' or 'suggested by' the 'prior art'."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim II and Second Affirmative Defense that the '459 Patent is invalid for failure to meet "the conditions for patentability" of 35 USC § 103 because the alleged invention are "obvious in view of" the "prior art."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome.

Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intends to use to support."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

All documents Google intends to use to support its Counterclaim II and Second Affirmative Defense that the '459 Patent is invalid because it fails to meet "the conditions for patentability" of 35 USC § 112 because the inventions are "unsupported by the written description of the patented invention."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome.

Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intends to use to support."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

All documents Google intends to use to support its Third Affirmative Defense that "IconFind's claim for damages, if any, against Google for alleged infringement of the '459 patent are limited by 35 U.S.C. §§ 286, 287, and/or 288."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome.

Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intends to use to support."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

All documents Google intends to use to support its Fourth Affirmative Defense that "[o]n information and belief, IconFind's claims for relief are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of laches and estoppel."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome.

Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intends to use to support."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

All documents Google intends to use to support its Fifth Affirmative Defense that "[a]ny and all products or actions accused of infringement have substantial uses that do not infringe and do not induce or contribute to the alleged infringement of the claims of the '459 Patent."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome.

Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intends to use to support."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

All documents and things that Google contends are prior art to the '459 Patent.

8

9

1

2

20 21

19

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it purports to require Google to draw a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of "prior art to the '459 Patent." Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "that Google contends are prior art." Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

All documents and things obtained or acquired through all validity, non-infringement or patentability prior art searches or investigations relied upon, reviewed, generated, performed, commissioned, ordered, requested, received, contracted or purchased by or on behalf of Google that relates in any way to the '459 Patent.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it purports to require Google to draw a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of "relates in any way to the '459 Patent." Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "all validity, non-infringement or patentability prior art

searches or investigations," "relied upon, reviewed, generated, performed, commissioned, ordered, requested, received, contracted or purchased," "on behalf of Google," and "relates in any way to the '459 Patent." Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

To the extent not requested above, any documents relating to any opinions of counsel sought or obtained by Google that relate in any way to the '459 Patent or the subject matter of this Lawsuit.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it purports to require Google to draw a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of "relate in any way to the '459 Patent." Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "documents relating to any opinions of counsel sought or obtained by Google" and "relates in any way to the '459 Patent or the subject matter of this Lawsuit."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to

the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

Any and all documents relating to Google's contentions of the level of skill of a person of ordinary skill in the art of the '459 Patent.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it purports to require Google to draw a legal conclusion concerning the meaning or application of "the art of the '459 Patent." Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "relating to Google's contentions" and "the level of skill of a person of ordinary skill in the art of the '459 Patent." Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

All documents which Google contends are relevant to claim construction in this Lawsuit.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome.

Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in

this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "Google contends are relevant" and "relevant to claim construction." Google further objects to this Request on the grounds that it is premature this far in advance of claim construction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

All intellectual property licenses/agreements between Google and any third party pertaining to the Creative Commons Feature of the Accused Google Instrumentality.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

Google objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence, and unduly burdensome. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work-product doctrine or any other applicable privileges. Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents whose disclosure is governed by Google's agreements with third parties, including confidentiality agreements. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that the terms and phrases in this Request are vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to "intellectual property licenses/agreements," "pertaining to," and "the Creative Commons Feature." Google objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks proprietary, trade secret or other confidential or competitively sensitive business or technical information. Google will only produce such relevant, non-privileged information subject to adequate protections for Google's confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary business or technical information via a protective order entered by the Court in this action. Google objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome particularly as it seeks "all documents."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Google responds that it will produce documents responsive to this Request that are in its possession, custody or control that are not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or doctrine to the extent responsive documents can be identified after a reasonable search.

Dated: June 20, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

KAYE SCHOLER LLP

By: /s/ Michael J. Malecek

Michael J. Malecek Kenneth M. Maikish Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.