1		
1 2		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		Lead Case No.:
11	SANDIPKUMAR TANDEL,	2:11-CV-353-MCE-GGH
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO et al,	
15	Defendants.	
16 17		Consolidated with: 2:09-CV-842-MCE-GGH
17	SANDIPKUMAR TANDEL,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
10 19	Plaintiffs,	FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT NAMING DOE DEFENDANTS IN
20	v.	CASE 2:09-CV-842-MCE-GGH
21	COLINITY OF SACDAMENTO et al	
22	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO et al,	
23	Defendants.	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		Dockets.Justia.qc

Plaintiff's Motion to Amend the First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 36) is GRANTED. Plaintiff may file a Second Amended Complaint Naming Doe Defendants in Case No. 2:09-CV-842, within twenty (20) days of this Order being electronically filed. The hearing, scheduled for June 23, 2011 before this Court, is hereby VACATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: June 20, 2011

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE