

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MICHAEL JAMES ROBERTS, SR., No. CIV S-11-0373-CMK

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

_____ /

Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action for judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A review of the docket reflects that defendant has notified the court regarding consent to proceed before a Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff, however, has not notified the court regarding consent. Pursuant to the court’s scheduling order and Eastern District of California Local Rules, Appendix A, the time to do so has now expired.

///
///
///

1 Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why
2 this action should not be dismissed for failure to inform the court regarding consent to Magistrate
3 Judge jurisdiction, as required by the court's scheduling order. The Clerk of the Court is directed
4 to serve on plaintiff a copy of the court's form entitled "Consent to Assignment or Request for
5 Reassignment." Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order may result in the
6 dismissal of the action for the reasons discussed above, as well as for failure to prosecute and
7 comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.

8 Further reviewing the docket, the court notes that plaintiff has complied with the
9 required procedures for service of the complaint on defendant. The court's April 15, 2011, order
10 to show cause is, therefore, discharged.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13 DATED: August 10, 2011

14 
15 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26