1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BENNY MARTINEZ,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-0416 GEB EFB (TEMP) PS
12	VS.
13	SCOTT KAYS, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. Plaintiff has requested authority pursuant to
17	28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by
18	Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).
19	Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable
20	to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma
21	pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).
22	The federal in forma pauperis statute authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the
23	action is legally "frivolous or malicious," fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted,
24	or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C.
25	§ 1915(e)(2).
26	////

1

A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.
 Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *Franklin v. Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227-28 (9th
 Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an
 indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. *Neitzke*,
 490 U.S. at 327.

In order to avoid dismissal for failure to state a claim a complaint must contain more than 6 7 "naked assertions," "labels and conclusions" or "a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause 8 of action." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555-557 (2007). In other words, 9 "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory 10 statements do not suffice." Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009). Furthermore, a 11 claim upon which the court can grant relief has facial plausibility. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 570. 12 "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to 13 draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." *Iqbal*, 14 129 S. Ct. at 1949. When considering whether a complaint states a claim upon which relief can 15 be granted, the court must accept the allegations as true, Erickson v. Pardus, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 16 2200 (2007), and construe the complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, see Scheuer 17 v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974).

18 The court finds the allegations in plaintiff's complaint so vague and conclusory that it is unable to determine whether the current action is frivolous or fails to state a claim for relief. The 19 20 court has determined that the complaint does not contain a short and plain statement as required 21 by Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). Although the Federal Rules adopt a flexible pleading policy, a 22 complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the claim plainly and succinctly. Jones 23 v. Community Redev. Agency, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1984). Plaintiff must allege with at least some degree of particularity overt acts which defendants engaged in that support plaintiff's 24 25 claim. Id. Because plaintiff has failed to comply with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 8(a)(2), the complaint must be dismissed. The court will, however, grant leave to file an

2

1 amended complaint.

If plaintiff chooses to amend the complaint, plaintiff must set forth the jurisdictional
grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a).
Further, plaintiff must demonstrate how the conduct complained of has resulted in a deprivation
of plaintiff's federal rights. *See Ellis v. Cassidy*, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980).

It appears plaintiff is seeking damages for an allegedly wrongful conviction on a felony 6 7 charge and related sex offender registration. In Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994), the 8 United States Supreme Court held that a suit for damages on a civil rights claim concerning an 9 allegedly unconstitutional conviction or imprisonment cannot be maintained absent proof "that 10 the conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct appeal, expunged by executive order, 11 declared invalid by a state tribunal authorized to make such determination, or called into question by a federal court's issuance of a writ of habeas corpus, 28 U.S.C. § 2254." Heck, 512 12 13 U.S. at 486.

Under *Heck*, the court is required to determine whether a judgment in plaintiff's favor in
this case would necessarily invalidate his conviction or sentence. *Id.* If it would, the complaint
must be dismissed unless the plaintiff can show that the conviction or sentence has been
invalidated. This court finds that plaintiff's action implicates the validity of plaintiff's
conviction. In any amended complaint, plaintiff must show that his conviction has been
invalidated.

In addition, plaintiff is informed that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in order to
make plaintiff's amended complaint complete. Local Rule 220 requires that an amended
complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. This is because, as a
general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v. Rhay, 375
F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original pleading no
longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an original
complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently alleged.

3

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis is granted;

2. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed with leave to amend; and

3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file an amended complaint that complies with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of Practice; the amended complaint must bear the docket number assigned this case and must be labeled "Amended Complaint"; plaintiff must file an original and two copies of the amended complaint; failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

DATED: February 17, 2011.

-Bikma

EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE