

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 AARON L. SUGGS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-11-0471 DAD P

12 vs.

13 SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
14 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

15 Defendants. /

ORDER

16 A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by  
17 the postal service as undeliverable. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule  
18 183(b), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address  
19 change. More than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal  
20 service and plaintiff has failed to notify the court of a current address.

21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be dismissed without  
22 prejudice. See Local Rule 183(b).

23 DATED: July 25, 2011.

24  
25 DAD:9:md  
26 sugg0471.33a

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE