1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CLAUDE YOUNG, III,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-0505 GEB GGH P
12	VS.
13	ARAMARK FOOD SERVICE
14	PROVIDER, et al.
15	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
16	/
17	By order filed October 17, 2011, plaintiff was ordered, within thirty days, to
18	submit documents for service by the United States Marshall and to provide the court with a
19	completed Notice of Submission of Documents. The thirty day period has now expired, and
20	plaintiff has not submitted a completed Notice or the documents, or otherwise responded to the
21	court's order.
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
23	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
25	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
26	////

1	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: December 13, 2011
7	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	UNITED STATES WADISTRATE JODGE
9	
10	GGH:rb youn0505.fsc
11	younosos.ise
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I