Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

///// 26

25

Doc. 51

Where, as here, the petition was dismissed on procedural grounds, a certificate of appealability "should issue if the prisoner can show: (1) 'that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling'; and (2) 'that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right." Morris v. Woodford, 229 F.3d 775, 780 (9th Cir. 2000) (quoting Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

After careful review of the entire record herein, this court finds that petitioner has not satisfied the requirement for issuance of a certificate of appealability in this case.

Specifically, there is no showing that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether petitioner's habeas petition is untimely and whether petitioner is entitled to equitable tolling.

Accordingly, a certificate of appealability should not issue in this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 26, 2012

ARLAND E. BURRELL, 'JR. Juited States District Judge