

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

H. W., minor, by and through)
Guardian ad Litem HEIDI NELSON;)
and M.K., minor, by and through)
Guardian ad Litem ROBERT)
KOELLING)

2:11-cv-00531-GEB-GGH

Plaintiffs,)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND
CONTINUING STATUS (PRETRIAL
SCHEDULING) ORDER

v.)

EASTERN SIERRA UNIFIED SCHOOL)
DISTRICT; COLEVILLE HIGH SCHOOL)
PRINCIPAL JASON REED)
(individually and in his)
official capacity); ASSISTANT)
FOOTBALL COACH CODY CARLISLE)
(individually and in his)
official capacity);)
SUPERINTENDENT DON CLARK)
(individually and in his)
official capacity),)
AND DOES 1-50,)


Defendants.)

The February 25, 2011, Order Setting Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference scheduled a status conference in this case on June 27, 2011, and required the parties to file a joint status report no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the scheduling conference. (ECF No. 5.) The February 25, 2011 Order further required that a status report be filed regardless of whether a joint report could be procured. Id. at 2 n.2. No status report was filed as ordered.

1 Therefore, the parties are Ordered to Show Cause ("OSC") in a
2 writing to be filed no later than 4:00 p.m. on June 27, 2011, why
3 sanctions should not be imposed against them and/or their counsel under
4 Rule 16(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to file
5 a timely status report. The written response shall also state whether
6 the parties or their counsel are at fault, and whether a hearing is
7 requested on the OSC.¹ If a hearing is requested, it will be held on
8 August 1, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., just prior to the status conference, which
9 is rescheduled to that date and time. A status report shall be filed no
10 later than fourteen (14) days prior to the status conference.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: June 17, 2011

13
14 
15 _____
16 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 _____
26 ¹ "If the fault lies with the attorney, that is where the impact
27 of sanction should be lodged. If the fault lies with the clients, that
28 is where the impact of the sanction should be lodged." Matter of
Sanction of Baker, 744 F.2d 1438, 1442 (10th Cir. 1984), cert. denied,
471 U.S. 1014 (1985). Sometimes the faults of attorneys, and their
consequences, are visited upon clients. In re Hill, 775 F.2d 1385, 1387
(9th Cir. 1985).