(PC)Cornejo	o v. Martel et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	EFRAIN CORNEJO,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-0549 KJN P
12	vs.
13	MARTEL, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	By order filed March 9, 2011, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days
17	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and
18	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
19	Plaintiff has consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed without
21	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
22	DATED: April 21, 2011
23	
24	KENDALL Newman
25	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	corn0549.fta
	1

Doc. 8