

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUIAD, ID 14691,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-11-0563 KJM DAD PS

vs.

JEANETTE DALE PRINGLE,

ORDER

Defendant.

_____ /

Defendant is proceeding pro se in the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge under Local Rule 302(c)(21).

On November 14, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on defendant and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days after service of the findings and recommendations. The fourteen-day period has expired, and defendant has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.

////

1 1983). Having carefully reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to
2 be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 14, 2011 (ECF No. 4) are
5 adopted in full;
6 2. This action is summarily remanded to the Lassen County Superior Court; and
7 3. The Clerk is directed to close this case.

8 DATED: January 6, 2012.

9 
10 _____
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13 /juiad0563.jo

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26