Jason K. Singleton, State Bar #166170 1 jason@singletonlawgroup.com SINGLETON LAW GROUP 2 611 "L" Street, Suite A **Eureka, CA 95501** 3 (707) 441-1177 4 FAX 441-1533 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff, MARSHALL LOSKOT 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 MARSHALL LOSKOT, Case No. 2:11-CV-00569 GEB GGH 11 Plaintiff. STIPULATION TO ALLOW FILING OF SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT ٧. 12 and (proposed) ORDER

Plaintiff, MARSHALL LOSKOT, filed his complaint on March 1, 2011, and immediately filed an AMENDED COMPLAINT to correct the spelling of named Defendant CHAD HILTON to CHAD HYLTON. The Amended complaint was served on Defendants and Defendant M & M PARTNERSHIP filed its answer on March 24, 2011.

No responsive pleading was received from Defendants CHAD HYLTON or ADAM SIMAS and Plaintiff's counsel wrote Defendants a letter advising Plaintiff would take their default if no responsive pleading was filed. Plaintiff's counsel was contacted by Defendants representative advising the subject business was owned and operated by THE HAMPTON COLLECTIVE, a California corporation, and not by the named individuals.

Plaintiff desires to file a Second Amended Complaint removing the individuals CHAD HYLTON and ADAM SIMAS and inserting THE HAMPTON COLLECTIVE, a California corporation, in their place and stead. No other changes will be made to the complaint. A copy

CHAD HYLTON, ADAM SIMAS, dba HAMPTON COLLECTIVE, M & M

FIFTY, inclusive,

Defendants.

PARTNERSHIP, and DOES ONE through

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the proposed Second Amended Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A. 1 2 Defendant M & M PARTNERSHIP stipulates that Plaintiff may file the Second Amended 3 Complaint and Plaintiff stipulates that M & M PARTNERSHIP shall not be required to file an Answer to the Second Amended Complaint and that its Answer filed March 24, 2011, is 4 accepted as responsive to the Second Amended Complaint. 5 SINGLETON LAW GROUP 6 7 Dated: May 16, 2011 /s/ Jason K. Singleton 8 Jason K. Singleton, Attorney for Plaintiff, MARSHALL LOSKOT 9 10 LAW OFFICES OF GARY BYRON ROACH 11 12 Dated: /s/ Gary Byron Roach May 16, 2011 Gary Byron Roach, Attorney for Defendant 13 M & M PARTNERSHIP 14 15 **ORDER** 16 Based on the foregoing stipulation and good cause appearing, 17 1. Plaintiff may file a Second Amended Complaint and the Clerk is directed to issue 18 a Summons for Defendant THE HAMPTON COLLECTIVE, a California corporation. 19 2. Defendant M & M PARTNERSHIP shall not be required to file an Answer to the 20 Second Amended Complaint, its Answer filed March 24, 2011, is accepted as responsive to the 21 Second Amended Complaint. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: 5/17/2011 23 24 United States District Judge 25 26

27

28