

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION
ALLIANCE, a non-profit corporation,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-11-0575 KJM-EFB

vs.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a political
subdivision of the State of California;
SACRAMENTO AREA SEWER DISTRICT, a
county sanitation district,

Defendants.

CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION
ALLIANCE, a non-profit corporation,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-11-0601 GEB-DAD

vs.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipality,

Defendant.

RELATED CASE ORDER

Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within
the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same
questions of law. Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to
effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.


1 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions
2 to be assigned to the same judge – it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related
3 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
4 assigned.

5 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that CIV S-11-0601 GEB-DAD, is reassigned
6 from District Judge Garland Burrell to the undersigned and from Magistrate Judge Drozd to
7 Magistrate Judge Brennan. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case
8 shall be shown as: CIV S-11-0601 KJM-EFB.

9 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment
10 in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: April 7, 2011.

13
14 
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE