

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORLANDO MADUENO,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:11-cv-0589-JAM-JFM (PC)

vs.

ARAMARK FOOD SERVICE
PROVIDER; SOLANO COUNTY;

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____/

By an order filed November 9, 2011, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendants. That thirty day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's order.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings

1 and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within
2 fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
3 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v.
4 Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: December 22, 2011.

6
7 
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 /014;made0589.fusm

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26