

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VEASNA SITH,

1:11-cv-00327-SMS (HC)

Petitioner,

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE  
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

vs.

TIM VIRGA

Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

The petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Joaquin County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court may, on the court’s own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. pauperis.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:pauperis.

1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

1 California sitting in Sacramento; and

2 2. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be  
3 filed at:

4 United States District Court  
5 Eastern District of California  
6 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200  
7 Sacramento, CA 95814

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: March 7, 2011

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder  
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26