1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 MICHAEL A. HOOVER, 10 Petitioner, No. 2:11-cv-0662 MCE GGH P 11 VS. 12 WILLIAM KNIPP, et al., 13 **ORDER** Respondents. 14 15 This petition for writ of habeas corpus was dismissed with prejudice by order filed 16 on January 30, 2012, and judgment entered accordingly. Petitioner's filing, directed to a three-17 judge panel, filed on August 21, 2012, regarding, inter alia, a broken cooler in a vocational 18 training class, not only does not appear to be one contemplated by the Federal Rules of Civil 19 Procedure or the Federal Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, but is wholly otherwise inapposite in the context of this closed case. Therefore, this document will be placed in the file and 20 21 disregarded. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 DATED: September 11, 2012 24 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 25 26 GGH:009 hoov0662.158

(HC)Hoover v. Martel

Doc. 20