(PC) Meier v	v. Zufall I
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BRIAN MEIER, No. CIV S-11-0673-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	JOHN ZUFALL,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 18, 2011, the court determined that plaintiff's complaint was
19	appropriate for service and directed plaintiff to submit documents for service by the United
20	States Marshal within 30 days. Plaintiff was warned that failure to submit the required
21	documents may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply
22	with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110. To date, plaintiff has not submitted the
23	required documents as directed.
24	
25	
26	
	1

Doc. 12

Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to submit the required service documents. Plaintiff is again warned that failure to respond to this order may result in dismissal of the action for the reasons outlined above, as well as for failure to prosecute and comply with court rules and orders. See id.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 6, 2011

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE