1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	10 PATRICK WILLIAMS,	
11	11Plaintiff,No. CIV S-11-0701 DAD P	
12	12 vs.	
13	13THOMPSON, et al.,ORDER AND	
14	14   Defendants.   FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION	S
15	15/	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to	
17	42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed on May 6, 2011, the court vacated its April 6, 2011 order	
18	granting plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis and ordering the payment of the initial	
19	partial filing fee and other payments by the Director of the California Department of Corrections	
20	and Rehabilitation. (Doc. Nos. 4 & 5.) Therein, the court also found plaintiff ineligible to	
21	proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and ordered plaintiff to pay the	
22	\$350.00 filing fee in full within thirty days. That thirty-day period has now expired and plaintiff	
23	has not paid the filing fee.	
24	24 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court	is directed to
25	randomly assign this case to a District Judge.	
26	26 /////	
	1	
		5 .

## Dockets.Justia.com

Also, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
 prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951
F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

10 DATED: June 17, 2011.

DAD:4

will0701. ffee

le A. Dright

DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE