I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ANTHONY B. TILLMAN,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:11-cv-0816 KJN P
12	VS.
13	BOARD OF PRISON TERMS,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel with an application for a
17	writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. By order filed April 5, 2011, the petition
18	was dismissed and petitioner was granted thirty days to file an amended petition. Petitioner was
19	informed that he must name as respondent the warden of the prison where he is incarcerated, and
20	clarify whether he challenged his 1987 conviction, or whether he challenged a denial of parole.
21	Petitioner filed an amended petition on April 11, 2011. Petitioner has again
22	named the Board of Prison Terms as a respondent, rather than Rick Hill, Warden of Folsom State
23	Prison. Petitioner clarified that he challenges a denial of parole, but failed to expressly state
24	which parole decision he is challenging, i.e. what year the parole decision was rendered. Finally,
25	petitioner has failed to confirm that he has exhausted state court remedies in connection with his
26	claim that a particular denial of parole violated his constitutional rights.
	1

The exhaustion of state court remedies is a prerequisite to the granting of a petition for writ of habeas corpus. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1). If exhaustion is to be waived, it must be waived explicitly by respondent's counsel. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(3). A waiver of exhaustion, thus, may not be implied or inferred. A petitioner satisfies the exhaustion requirement by providing the highest state court with a full and fair opportunity to consider all claims before presenting them to the federal court. <u>Picard v. Connor</u>, 404 U.S. 270, 276 (1971); <u>Middleton v.</u> <u>Cupp</u>, 768 F.2d 1083, 1086 (9th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 478 U.S. 1021 (1986).

8 In the amended petition, petitioner denied he has filed any petitions, applications, 9 or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal. (Dkt. No. 14 at 2.) 10 However, in his original petition, petitioner claimed he challenged the denial of parole in an 11 unidentified "District Court," which was denied in 2009, and that he had a petition or other postconviction proceeding pending in the District Court for the Northern District of California. (Dkt. 12 No. 1 at 5.) In connection to petitioner's reference to a pending action, it is unclear whether 13 petitioner was referring to the instant petition, subsequently transferred to this court, or to a 14 different proceeding. In ground three of the amended petition, petitioner claims he was denied 15 parole for a period of seven years in 2010. (Dkt. No. 14 at 5.) It is unclear whether petitioner is 16 challenging this 2010 denial of parole, or whether petitioner could have exhausted his state court 17 remedies for a 2010 parole denial by early 2011. 18

Petitioner is advised that he must have presented each of the grounds contained in
the amended petition to the California Supreme Court before he may proceed in the instant
action.

Accordingly, the amended petition will be dismissed with leave to amend. In any second amended petition, petitioner shall use the court's form petition and shall include the following:

25 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Petitioner shall name Rick Hill, Warden, Folsom State Prison, as respondent;
 Petitioner shall clearly identify the date of the parole decision he challenges;

2

1 and

4

5

6

7

8

Petitioner shall inform the court whether he has raised all three grounds
 contained in the amended petition in the California Supreme Court.

If petitioner has a copy of the parole decision he challenges, and/or a copy of the decision from the California Supreme Court addressing his claims, he may append them to his second amended petition. In order to assist petitioner in addressing the issue of exhaustion, the Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner a copy of the original petition. (Dkt. No. 1.)

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. Petitioner's amended application for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed with
10 leave to file a second amended petition within thirty days from the date of this order;

2. The second amended petition must be filed on the form employed by this court,
 must bear the case number assigned to this action and must bear the title "Second Amended
 Petition";

In the second amended petition, petitioner shall (a) name Rick Hill, Warden,
 Folsom State Prison, as respondent; (b) clearly identify the date of the parole decision he
 challenges; and (c) inform the court whether he has raised all three grounds contained in the
 amended petition in the California Supreme Court; and

4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner the court's form for application for writ of habeas corpus, and a copy of petitioner's original petition (dkt. no. 1).DATED: April 15, 2011

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25 26

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

till0816.114