(PS) Adams	v. Easley et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UN	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	TYRONE ADAMS,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-11-0826 GEB CKD PS
12	vs.	
13	CHARLES EASLEY, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	ORDER
15		
16	The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local	
17	Rule 302(c)(21).	
18	On December 6, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
19	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any	
20	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days.	
21	Objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.	
22	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-	
23	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire	
24	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
25	proper analysis.	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 90

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed December 6, 2011, are adopted in

full; and

2. This action is dismissed as to the non-appearing defendants.

Dated: January 5, 2012

RLAND E. BUBRELL, JR.

United States District Judge