1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WADE THORNTON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-0875 EFB P
12	VS.
13	CRAIG WEST, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28
18	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and is before the undersigned pursuant to plaintiff's consent. See 28 U.S.C.
19	§ 636; see also E.D. Cal. Local Rules, Appx. A, at (k)(4).
20	On January 4, 2012, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend. The
21	dismissal order explained the complaint's deficiencies, gave plaintiff 30 days to file an amended
22	complaint correcting those deficiencies, and warned plaintiff that failure to file an amended
23	complaint may result in this action being dismissed.
24	////
25	////
26	////
	1

The 30-day period has expired and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that this action is DISMISSED for failure to prosecute and failure to state a claim. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b).

Dated: April 6, 2012.

Rib ma

ÉDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE