(PC) Dean v. Braziel, et al.,

Doc. 11

On May 31, 2011, plaintiff filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. He did not, however, request relief from judgment. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b):

On motion and just terms, the court may relieve a party or its legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under rule 59(b); (3) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party; (4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released or discharged; it is based on an earlier judgment that has been reversed or vacated; or applying it prospectively is no longer equitable; or (6) any other reason that justifies relief.

Accordingly, the court will not address plaintiff's untimely request for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Should plaintiff file a request for relief from judgment, and should the court grant such request, the court will then consider plaintiff's request for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

The court notes that it will issue no response to future filings by plaintiff in this action not authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

So ordered.

Dated: June 20, 2011.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE