1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10   SCOTT N. JOHNSON,

11   Plaintiff,   No. CIV S-11-0920 KJM-DAD

12   vs.

13   JAMES C. KLEIN, Individually and their
     successor(s) in trust, co-Trustee of the Klein
14   Family 2003 Revocable Trust, dated June 27, 2003;
     and YVONNE J. KLEIN, Individually and their
15   successor(s) in trust, co-Trustee of the Klein
     Family 2003 Revocable Trust, dated June 27, 2003,
16
              Defendants.
17   _____/

18   SCOTT N. JOHNSON,

19   Plaintiff,   No. CIV S-11-1188 MCE-DAD

20   vs.

21   JAMES C. KLEIN, Individually and as Co-Trustee
     of the Klein Family 2003 Revocable Trust, dated
22   June 27, 2003; and YVONNE J. KLEIN,
     Individually and as Co-Trustee of the Klein
23   Family 2003 Revocable Trust, dated June 27, 2003,

24   Defendants.   <u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u>
     _____/
25

26   ///

1

1   Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within

2   the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Both actions involve the same parties and are based on a

3   similar claim. Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to effect

4   a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

5   The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions

6   to be assigned to the same judge – it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related

7   cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was

8   assigned.

9   As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that CIV S-11-1188 MCE-DAD is reassigned

10 from Judge England to the undersigned. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the

11 reassigned case shall be shown as: CIV S-11-1188 KJM-DAD.

12   It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment

13 in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

14   IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: November 2, 2011.

16

17 _____
                          UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26