-KJN	North Sacramento	Land Compa	ny et al v	. United	States F	Fish and	Wildlife	Service e	t al
------	------------------	------------	------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	-----------	------

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE								
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
3									
4	NORTH SACRAMENTO LAND COMPANY; LEVEE DISTRICT 1;	CASE NO. 2:11-CV-943-JAM-KJN							
5	RECLAMATION DISTRICT 784; SACRAMENTO VALLEY LANDOWNERS ASSOCIATION; BUTTE COUNTY FARM	ORDER ON JOINT STATUS REPORT							
6	BUREAU; SOLANO COUNTY FARM BUREAU; and YOLO COUNTY FARM								
7	BUREAU,								
8	Plaintiffs,								
9	V.								
10	UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE; KEN SALAZAR, in his official								
11	capacity as Secretary of Interior; ROWAN W GOULD, in his official capacity as Acting								
12	Director of UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE; and REN								
13	LOHOEFENER in his official capacity as Regional Director of the Pacific Southwest								
14	Region of the UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE,								
15									
16	Defendants.								
17		ORDER							
18	Based on the agreed report filed by the parties, IT IS SO ORDERED that the schedule for this								
19	case is as follows:								
20	August 12, 2011: Administ	rative Record Filed							
21		ions to Supplement Administrative Record							
22									
23		ons to Motions to Supplement Administrative Record							
24	October 14, 2011: Replies f	or Motions to Supplement Administrative Record							
25	If no motions to supplement the administrative record are filed, the Parties will file their								
26	summary judgment briefing as follows:								
27	October 31, 2011: Plaintiffs	file their motion for summary judgment.							
28									
	ORDER	2:11-CV-943-JAM-KJN							

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version <u>www.pdffactory¹.com</u>

1	November 18, 2011:	The Service files its combined cross motion for summary
2		judgment and opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for summary
3		judgment.
4	December 2, 2011:	Plaintiffs file their combined opposition to the Service's cross
5		motion and reply.
6	December 16, 2011:	The Service files its reply to Plaintiffs' opposition.
7		
8		lement the record, the Parties will file a proposed briefing schedule
9	within 10 days after the Court acts	on those motions. The Parties are excused from the requirements of
10	Local Rule 260(a)-(c) regarding sta	tements of facts to accompany motions for summary judgment.
11		
12	DATED: 6/13/2011	
13		<u>/s/ John A. Mendez</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ORDER	2:11-CV-943-JAM-KJN