

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GUILLERMO CHAVEZ,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
GRANADOZ, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:11-cv-1015 WBS CKD P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 2, 2015, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff was granted five extensions of time to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion, and was repeatedly informed that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). (ECF Nos. 70, 72, 73, 75, and 77.) The time for filing an opposition or statement of non-opposition has expired.

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the  
2 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The  
3 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
4 appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: August 25, 2015



---

CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6  
7  
8  
9  
10 1  
11 chav1015.frs  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28