1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GUILLERMO CHAVEZ,	No. 2:11-cv-1015 WBS CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	GRANADOZ, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On January 8, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
22	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Both parties filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations. <sup>1</sup>	
24	/////	
25		
26	<sup>1</sup> In their objections, defendants assert the magistrate judge did not assess whether defendant Granadoz is entitled to summary judgment on the basis of qualified immunity as to plaintiff's	
27	excessive force claim arising out of Granadoz's use of pepper spray. However, the magistrate judge did address defendants' argument and found that qualified immunity is not a basis for	
28	summary judgment. ECF No. 84 at 7.	
	1	1

Before this court could rule on the objections, however, on February 9, 2016, plaintiff filed Notice of Appeal to the Ninth Circuit. On February 10, 2016, the Clerk processed the appeal to the Ninth Circuit. Because the matter is now pending in the Court of Appeals, this court no longer has jurisdiction to consider the findings and recommendations.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all proceedings in this court are STAYED pending remand from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Dated: February 25, 2016

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE