1

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	ROSENDO ROBERT RAMIREZ, No. CIV S-11-1029-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	JILL A. EGGLESTON,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action
18	pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). For cases
19	such as this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the federal venue statute requires
20	that the action be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all
21	defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events
22	or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject
23	of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is
24	no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Here, the
25	claim(s) arose in Eloy, Arizona, which is within the boundaries of the United States District
26	Court for the District of Arizona. Therefore, the court finds that this action most appropriately
	1

1

proceeds in that district. In the interest of justice, the court will transfer this case. See 28 U.S.C.
§ 1406(a).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.

DATED: June 15, 2011

**CRAIG M. KELLISON** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE