1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JAY D. CARTER,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:11-cv-1038 KJN P
12	VS.
13	MICHAEL BABCOCK, Warden,
14	Respondent. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
15	/
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel, with an application for
17	writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On July 18, 2011, respondent filed a motion
18	to dismiss. Petitioner has not filed an opposition to the motion. Local Rule 230(1) provides in
19	part: "Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no
20	opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion"
21	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner show cause,
22	within thirty days, why his failure to oppose respondent's July 18, 2011 motion to dismiss should
23	not be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion, or he shall file an
24	opposition. Petitioner is cautioned that failure to respond to the instant order, or to file an
25	////
26	////
	1

1	opposition to the pending motion to dismiss, will result in a recommendation that this action be
2	dismissed.
3	DATED: August 23, 2011
4	
5	KENDALL I NEWMAN
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	cart1038.46h
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I