1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES ARMSTEAD,	No. 2: 11-cv-1054 JAM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	TIM V. VIRGA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On July 12, 2013, defendants Virga and Mini filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings	
18	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c). Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.	
19	Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written	
20	opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to	
21	the granting of the motion" On September 22, 2011, plaintiff was advised of the	
22	requirements for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may	
23	be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion.	
24	Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be grounds for	
25	imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of	
26	the Court." In the order filed September 22, 2011, plaintiff was advised that failure to comply	
27	with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed.	
28	////	
		1

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within twenty-one days of the	
2	date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion for judgment on	
3	the pleadings. Failure to file an opposition will be deemed as a statement of non-opposition and	
4	shall result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant Federal Rule of Civil	
5	Procedure 41(b).	
6	Dated: August 16, 2013	
7	Farlel P 16 man	
8	KENDALL J. NEWMAN	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10	Arm1054.ord	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	