

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11
12 JERRY WARD,

1:11-cv-00624-SMS (PC)

13 Plaintiff,

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 vs.

15 DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION,

16 et al,

17 Defendants.
18 _____ /

19 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42
20 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

21 In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged
22 violations took place in San Joaquin County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United
23 States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have
24 been filed in the Sacramento Division.

25 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper
26 court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will
27 be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in
28 forma pauperis.

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
3 California sitting in Sacramento; and

4 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
5 filed at:

6 United States District Court
7 Eastern District of California
8 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
Sacramento, CA 95814

9 3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 **Dated:** April 21, 2011

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28