

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JAMAAL THOMAS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-11-1138 MCE EFB P

12 vs.

13 ANTIPOV, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis and without counsel in an action
17 brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 11, 2012, the court granted plaintiff's March 12,
18 2012 motion to amend his complaint add the names of three Doe defendants. Dckt. No. 38. The
19 court also vacated the deadlines for discovery and dispositive motions set in the September 13,
20 2011 discovery scheduling order. After docketing that order, the Clerk docketed two motions
21 drafted by plaintiff: (1) a motion to modify the scheduling order dated January 17, 2012, Dckt.
22 No. 40, and (2) a motion to amend the complaint dated December 28, 2011, Dckt. No. 42. Those
23 motions are denied as moot in light of the court's April 11, 2012 order.

24 So ordered.

25 DATED: May 22, 2012.

26 
EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE