

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JAMAAL THOMAS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 ANTIPOV, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:11-cv-1138-MCE-EFB P

ORDER

17 Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis and without counsel in a civil rights
18 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 12, 2013, he moved to amend his complaint to
19 include the “attached sheet,” that he inadvertently omitted from the operative second amended
20 complaint (ECF No. 45). The proposed amendment includes plaintiff’s request for relief in this
21 action. *See* ECF No. 83 at 10. Defendants do not oppose the amendment. ECF No. 84.

22 Good cause appearing, plaintiff’s motion to amend (ECF Nos. 82, 83) is granted.
23 Defendants’ answers to the second amended complaint are adequate and they are not required to
24 file new answers.

25 Dated: September 10, 2013.

26 
27 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE