1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	TRACY D. LAWSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV-S-11-1163-KJM-KJN-PS
12	VS.
13	CITICORP TRUST BANK, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	On June 27, 2011, the magistrate judge assigned to this case filed findings and
17	recommendations regarding plaintiff's second application for a temporary restraining order.
18	(ECF 13.) The magistrate judge recommended that the application be denied on procedural
19	grounds, but permitted plaintiff to file a third application for emergency relief prior to the
20	resolution of the findings and recommendations entered on June 27, 2011. Plaintiff did not file
21	objections to the June 27, 2011 findings and recommendations, but filed a third application for
22	emergency relief on July 8, 2011, which sought the same relief as the second such application.
23	The undersigned denied plaintiff's third application on the merits. (Order, July 11, 2011, ECF
24	22.)
25	
26	
	1

In light of the denial of plaintiff's third application for emergency relief on the merits, the proposed findings and recommendations filed on June 27,2011 are moot and the court need not reach their merits. Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate the findings and recommendations on these grounds.

DATED: August 22, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26 laws1163.jo.tro