1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 LEON E. MORRIS,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-11-1171 LKK DAD P

VS.

13 A.J. R. BRADFORD et al.,

14 Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

1516

17

18

19

20

By order filed July 21, 2011, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

21

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

23

22

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen

2425

days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

26

with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings

and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: September 1, 2011. Dale A. Dagel UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:9 morr1171.fta