

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-11-1266 GGH P

vs.

MIKE MARTEL, Warden, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER &

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se with a civil rights action. By order filed June 6, 2011, the court granted plaintiff twenty-eight days to file an amended complaint. In the June 6, 2011 order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a district judge be assigned to this case.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file

1 written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate  
2 Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and  
3 served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
4 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

5 DATED: August 22, 2011

6 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows  
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 GGH: AB  
9 will1266.fta

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26